EXHIBIT 5

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
                             NORTHERN DIVISION
 2
     CHELSEA GILLIAM, et al.,
 3
               Plaintiffs.
 4
          VS.
                                           CIVIL NO.:
 5
     DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND
                                           1:23-cv-01047-MJM
     CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, et al.,
 6
               Defendants.
                                           VOLUME III (Excerpt)
 7
 8
                                           Baltimore, Maryland
December 1, 2023
9
                                           10:19 a.m.
10
                         TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
                 MOTIONS HEARING EXCERPT - COURT'S RULING
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE MATTHEW J. MADDOX
12
                            Via Videoconference
13
     For the Plaintiffs:
14
          JESSICA P. WEBER, Esquire
          LAUREN A. DIMARTÍNO, Esquire
15
          SHARON K. WEISBAUM, Esquire
          EVAN MONOD, Esquire
16
            Brown Goldstein and Levy LLP
            120 E. Baltimore Street, Suite 1700
17
            Baltimore. MD 21202
18
          DEBORAH M. GOLDEN, Esquire
            The Law Office of Deborah M. Golden
19
            700 Pennsylvania Ave. SE, 2nd Floor
            Washington, DC 20003
20
     For the Defendants:
21
          KELLY M. DONOHO, Esquire
22
          MERRILYN E. RATLIFF, Esquire
            Office of the Attorney General
23
            6776 Reisterstown Road, Suite 313
            Baltimore, MD 21215
24
     Also Present: Chloe Grey
25
          (Computer-aided transcription of stenotype notes)
```

administration of each hormone treatment. That I imagine has to be conducted on a daily basis, perhaps even twice a day.

I am concerned about the accessibility of Ms. Grey's alleged abusers to her while she's at Patuxent. I understand this is matter the department still has under investigation, and I imagine they have already undertaken to identify who those alleged abusers are and to make sure they have no contact with the plaintiff but I'm going to back that up with a court order. So there will be injunctive relief to ensure that there is no contact between Ms. Grey's alleged abusers, meaning the correctional staff who are alleged to have assaulted her and to have groped her for which there is medical evidence to support and substantiate her claims, have no access to Ms. Grey pending further order of the Court.

Now when I say pending further order of the court is that I understand that this is a matter that the department is investigating. If the department wants relief from this injunction, they'll have to ask for it and it may be that they'll have an opportunity to present evidence to determine -- or to support the notion that Ms. Grey's allegations are unfounded.

Finally, there will be an injunction that the department conduct a case-by-case individualized housing assessment for Ms. Grey to determine whether or not she should be placed at a women's facility. I think I've already touched